UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	* SEP 29 2014 +
Jarrett R. Jenkins, Plaintiff,	LONG ISLAND OFFICE VERIFIED COMPLAINT
-against- LVNV FUNDING, LLC.,	CV-14 5682 TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED
Defendant,	FEUERSTEIN, J
Comes now the Plaintiff Jarrett R. Jenkins respect	fully submits and alleges as follows:

PARTIES

TOMLINSON, M

FILED

- 1. Plaintiff Jarrett R. Jenkins resides at 334 Locust Street, Apt-1, West Hempstead, NY 11552-3044.
- Defendant LVNV FUNDING, LLC (LVNV) is a foreign company under the laws of Delaware and is registered with the Secretary Of State of New York State and has a main office address at 15 S.
 Main ST., Suite 600, Greenville, SC 29601-2768.
- Upon information and belief it's registered agent is Corporation Service Company, 80 State Street,
 Albany, NY 12207-2543.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. Jurisdiction of this Court arises under 28 USC §1331 and pursuant to The Fair Credit Reporting Act (hereinafter "FCRA"), 15 USC §1681 et. seq and The Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (hereinafter "FDCPA"), 15 USC §1692 et. seq.
- 5. Venue is proper in this district because the cause of action arouse in Nassau County which is located in the Eastern District Of New York.
- 6. Upon information and belief Defendant is a debt buyer and or a debt collector who at all relevant times was engaged, by the use of the mail, telephone and the credit reporting system in the business of attempting to a collect a "debt" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).

- 7. LVNV is a "debt Collector" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).
- 8. LVNV is in the business of collecting consumer debts whether directly or indirectly through their agents using the mail, telephone and the credit reporting system and regularly collects, or attempt to collect, consumer debts owed, or alleged to be owed, to another person.
- 9. Plaintiff is a consumer within the meaning of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. §1681a(c).
- 10. Equifax, Transunion and Experian are credit reporting agencies within the meaning of the FCRA 15 U.S.C. §1681a(f).
- 11. Consumer credit report is a consumer report within the meaning of FCRA 15 U.S.C. §1681a(d).
- 12.Defendant reports these debts to the national credit reporting agencies i.e. Experian, Equifax, and Transunion; as such is governed under the law by the FCRA.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 13.On or about October 8, 2012 the Plaintiff pulled his credit report and found that from at least September 2011 to May 2013 the Defendant had entered into the Plaintiff's Equifax credit report claiming an alleged but **non existent debt** owed to them with partial account #431467250017XXX in the amount of \$3,725.00.
- 14. The Plaintiff disputed the accuracy and correctness of the LVNV tradeline with Equifax via USPS certified mail on or about December 28, 2012.
- 15.On or about January 22, 2013 the Plaintiff received a copy of the results of the Equifax reinvestigation which indicated that they had verified that the item belonged to me. And so the tradeline continued to be reported in the Plaintiff's Equifax credit report until May 24, 2013. See *Exhibit-1*
- 16.On or about October 1, 2012 the Plaintiff pulled his credit report and found that from at least

 September 2011 to present the Defendant had entered into the Plaintiff's Transunion credit

- report claiming an alleged but **non existent debt** owed to them with partial account #431467250017**** in the amount of \$3,725.00.
- 17. The Plaintiff disputed the accuracy and correctness of the LVNV tradeline with Transunion via USPS certified mail on or about December 31, 2012.
- 18.On or about January 25, 2013 the Plaintiff received a copy of the results of the Transunion investigation which indicated that there was "new information below". And so the tradeline continued to be reported in the Plaintiff's Transunion credit report through present. See *Exhibit-2*
- 19.On or about October 1, 2012 the Plaintiff pulled his credit report and found that from at least September 2009 to present the Defendant had entered into the Plaintiff's Experian credit report claiming an alleged but **non existent debt** owed to them with partial account #431467250017.... in the amount of \$3,725.00.
- 20. The Plaintiff disputed the accuracy and correctness of the LVNV tradeline with Experian via USPS certified mail on or about December 26, 2012.
- 21.On or about February 8, 2013 the Plaintiff received a copy of the results of the Experian reinvestigation which indicated that the account was verified as belonging to me and "updated". And so the tradeline continued to be reported in the Plaintiff's Experian credit report through present. See *Exhibit-3*
- 22.On or about January 2, 2013 the Plaintiff sent the Defendant a letter asking LVNV to validate the alleged but **non existent debt**, which they received on January 7, 2013. See *Exhibit-4a*
- 23.On or about January 2, 2013 the Plaintiff sent the Defendant a second letter asking LVNV to validate the alleged but **non existent debt**, which they received on January 7, 2013. See *Exhibit-4b*
- 24.On or about January 24, 2013 the Plaintiff sent the Defendant a third letter asking LVNV to validate the alleged but **non existent debt**, which they received on January 29, 2013. See *Exhibit-4c*

- 25.On or about January 24, 2013 the Plaintiff sent the Defendant a fourth letter requesting validation of the alleged but **non existent debt**, which was received on January 29, 2013. See *Exhibit-4d*
- 26.Dispite numerous requests from the Plaintiff, to date the Defendants have failed to provide any general ledger accounting or proper validation of the alleged debt claimed to be owed by the Plaintiff.
- 27. Prior to and within 30 days of the first appearance of the LVNV tradeline in September 2011 into the Plaintiff's Equifax, Experian and Tranunion credit reports the Plaintiff was unaware of any contact whether through the mail or by telephone made by the Defendant, if there was any.
- 28. The Plaintiff ponders; that if he never had any kind of relationship, business or otherwise with the Defendant or their predecessor(s), then how can any of the information being reported and communicated in his Equifax, Experian and Transunion credit reports be anything but false, derogatory and inaccurate. Furthermore by the reporting of such information into the Plaintiff's credit reports as a means to entice payment of an alleged but **non existent debt**, that behavior can only be deceptive, unfair and unconscionable.
- 29. The Plaintiff denies ever having any contractual agreement for credit, loans, or services relationship with the Defendant. Even if the Plaintiff did have such an agreement, which the Plaintiff denies, the alleged debt is not in question here as there is no proof of any alleged debt. But the fact as to how is was or was not validated and the wrongful actions of the Defendant in an attempt to collect the alleged but **non existent debt**, continued collection activity by harassing, abusing, failing to provide adequate validation, using unfair, unconscionable and deceptive business practices upon the Plaintiff by inputting and reporting negative, false and inaccurate information into the Plaintiff's Equifax, Experian and Transunion credit reports after the Plaintiff asked for validation of the alleged but **non existent debt** and disputed the tradeline with Equifax, Experian and Transunion, violated the civil rights of the Plaintiff.

<u>COUNT I</u> <u>FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT VIOLATIONS</u>

- 30.Paragraphs 1 through 29 re-alleged as though fully set forth herein.
- 31. From on or about January 7, 2013 to January 28, 2013 the Defendant received four separate "requests for validation" from the Plaintiff asking them to properly validate the alleged but **non existent debt** under the FDCPA. See *Exhibits 4a-4d*. That demand was never complied with and so any continued action in regards to the collection of the alleged but **non existent debt** were blatant violations of various sections of the FDCPA as follows:
 - a. Defendant violated §1692d(5) of the FDCPA by engaging in conduct of which the natural result was the abuse and harassment of the Plaintiff by inputting false, derogatory and inaccurate information in the Plaintiff's Experian credit report and updating and certifying said report from October 2013 to September 2014.
 - b. Defendant violated §1692d(5) of the FDCPA by engaging in conduct of which the natural result was the abuse and harassment of the Plaintiff by inputting false, derogatory and inaccurate information in the Plaintiff's Transunion credit report and updating and certifying said report from October 2013 to September 2014.
 - c. Defendant violated §1692e(2)(A) of the FDCPA by falsely representing the character and amount of the alleged debt in the Plaintiff's Experian credit report from October 2013 to September 2014.
 - d. Defendant violated §1692e(2)(A) of the FDCPA by falsely representing the character and amount of the alleged debt in the Plaintiff's Transunion credit report from October 2013 to September 2014.
 - e. Defendant violated §1692e(8) of the FDCPA by communicating to Experian credit information which they knew or should have known to be false from October 2013 to

- September 2014. The Defendant knew or should have known the information they were communicating to Experian was false because the Plaintiff never had any kind of relationship, business or otherwise with the Defendant or their predecessor(s).
- f. Defendant violated §1692e(8) of the FDCPA by communicating to Transunion credit information which they knew or should have known to be false from October 2013 to September 2014. The Defendant knew or should have known the information they were communicating to Transinion was false because the Plaintiff never had any kind of relationship, business or otherwise with the Defendant or their predecessor(s).
- g. Defendant violated §1692f(1) of the FDCPA by using the Plaintiff's Experian credit report as a means to collect on the alleged but **non existent debt**. That behavior was unfair and unconscionable because the collection of any amount is forbidden (including the interest, fee, charge, or expense incidental to the principal obligation) unless such amount is expressly authorized by the agreement creating the debt or permitted by law. The Defendant to this day never produced that agreement or brought forth any legal or lawful obligation that was owed by the Plaintiff after the Plaintiff requested such proof. See *Exhibits 4a-4d*
- h. Defendant violated §1692f(1) of the FDCPA by using the Plaintiff's Transunion credit report as a means to collect on the alleged but **non existent debt**. That behavior was unfair and unconscionable because the collection of any amount is forbidden (including the interest, fee, charge, or expense incidental to the principal obligation) unless such amount is expressly authorized by the agreement creating the debt or permitted by law. The Defendant to this day never produced that agreement or brought forth any legal or lawful obligation that was owed by the Plaintiff after the Plaintiff requested such proof. See *Exhibits 4a-4d*
- i. Defendant violated §1692g(b) of the FDCPA by not ceasing collection activity in the

- Plaintiff's Experian credit report from October 2013 to September 2014. Even after receiving the Plaintiff's multiple requests for validation from on or about January 7, 2013 to January 28, 2013. See *Exhibits 4a-4d*
- j. Defendant violated §1692g(b) of the FDCPA by not ceasing collection activity in the Plaintiff's Transunion credit report from October 2013 to September 2014. Even after receiving the Plaintiff's multiple requests for validation from on or about January 24, 2013 to March 14, 2013. See Exhibits 4a-4d
- 32.As a direct and or proximate result of one or more or all of the statutory violations above the Plaintiff has suffered actual damages in the forms of emotional distress (see Exhibit 5) and the denial of credit from Cash Call (see Exhibit 6).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Jarrett R. Jenkins prays that the court enter an order against the Defendant, LVNV Funding, LLC that:

- 1. Declares that the Defendant's conduct violated the FDCPA;
- 2. Award statutory damages as listed under the FDCPA 813[15 USC§1692k] of \$1,000.00,
- 3. Award actual damages as listed under the FDCPA 813[15 USC§1692k],
- 4. Award costs and attorneys fees as listed under the FDCPA 813[15 USC§1692k],
- 5. Other damages as allowed by the court.

COUNT II FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT VIOLATIONS

- 33. Paragraphs 1 through 32 are re-alleged as though fully set forth herein.
- 34.From on or about December 26, 2012 the Plaintiff sent his "Notice of Dispute" to Equifax, disputing the accuracy and correctness of the LVNV tradeline in his credit report. In turn, after receiving the "notice of dispute" from Equifax the Defendant had a duty and obligation under the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. §1681 s-2b to conduct a proper and reasonable investigation as required by the statute. That

demand was never complied with and so any continued reporting of the negative, false and inaccurate tradeline by the Defendant into the Plaintiff's Equifax credit report monthly became a separate violation of the statute. By the continued reporting of the false, negative and inaccurate information into the Plaintiff's Equifax, report on the alleged but **non existent debt** without conducting a proper and reasonable investigation as required by 15 U.S.C. §1681 s-2b demonstrates a willful and knowing disregard for the law or in the alternative negligence of the law. This behavior and violations occurred from January 2013 to May 2013 (5 months, 5 violations).

- 35. From on or about January 16, 2013 the Plaintiff sent his "Notice of Dispute" to Experian, disputing the accuracy and correctness of the LVNV tradeline in his credit report. In turn, after receiving the "notice of dispute" from Experian the Defendant had a duty and obligation under the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. §1681 s-2b to conduct a proper and reasonable investigation as required by the statute. That demand was never complied with and so any continued reporting of the negative, false and inaccurate tradeline by the Defendant into the Plaintiff's Experian credit report monthly became a separate violation of the statute. By the continued reporting of the false, negative and inaccurate information into the Plaintiff's Experian, report on the alleged but **non existent debt** without conducting a proper and reasonable investigation as required by 15 U.S.C. §1681 s-2b demonstrates a willfull and knowing disregard for the law or in the alternative negligence of the law. This behavior and violations occurred from February 2013 to present (20 months, 20 violations).
- 36. From on or about January 16, 2013 the Plaintiff sent his "Notice of Dispute" to Transunion, disputing the accuracy and correctness of the LVNV tradeline in his credit report. In turn, after receiving the "notice of dispute" from Transunion the Defendant had a duty and obligation under the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. §1681 s-2b to conduct a proper and reasonable investigation as required by the statute. That demand was never complied with and so any continued reporting of the negative, false and inaccurate

tradeline by the Defendant into the Plaintiff's Transunion credit report monthly became a separate violation of the statute. By the continued reporting of the false, negative and inaccurate information into the Plaintiff's Transunion, report on the alleged but **non existent debt** without conducting a proper and reasonable investigation as required by 15 U.S.C. §1681 s-2b demonstrates a willfull and knowing disregard for the law or in the alternative negligence of the law. This behavior and violations occurred from January 2013 to present (21 months, 21 violations).

- 37.Defendant, LVNV Funding, LLC violated 15 U.S.C. §1681 s-2(b)(1)(A) by failing to conduct an investigation after receiving notice that the Plaintiff disputed the information the Defendant had provided to Equifax, Experian and Transunion.
- 38.Defendant, LVNV Funding, LLC violated 15 U.S.C. §1681 s-2(b)(1)(B) by failing to review all relevant information provided by Equifax, Experian and Transunion pursuant to §1681i.
- 39.Defendant, LVNV Funding, LLC violated 15 U.S.C. §1681 s-2(b)(1)(c) by reporting inaccurate, incomplete, false, and misleading results of the investigation, if any to Equifax, Experian and Transunion.
- 40.Defendant, LVNV Funding, LLC violated 15 U.S.C. §1681 s-2(b)(1)(D) by failing to notify Equifax, Experian and Transunion that the reporting of the Defendants tradeline was inaccurate, incomplete, false and misleading.
- 41.As a direct and proximate result of one or more or all of the statutory violations above the Plaintiff has suffered actual damages including but not limited to damage to reputation, embarrassment, humiliation and other forms of mental and emotional distress (see Exhibit 5) and the denial of credit from Cash Call (see Exhibit 6).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Jarrett R. Jenkins prays that the court enter an order against the Defendant, LVNV Funding, LLC that:

- 1. Declares that the Defendant's conduct violated the FCRA;
- 2. Award statutory damages as listed under the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. §1681n (a)(1)(A) of \$1000 per violation, or in the alternative for negligent conduct pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1681o
- 3. Award costs and attorneys fees as listed under the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. §1681n (a)(3) and or o,
- 4. Award punitive damages as the court may allow as listed under the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. §1681n (a)(2), or in the alternative for negligent conduct pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1681o
- 5. Other damages as allowed by the court.

Respectfully Submitted,

September 29, 2014

arrett R. Jenkins, Plaintiff

334 Locust Street, Apt-X

West Hempstead, NY 11552-3044

516-841-3132

jrobertjenkins@gmail.com

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

U.S.	IN CLE DISTRIC	ILED ERK'S OFFIC CT COURT E	'E
*	SEP	29 2014	DNY

Pro Se Registration and Consent for Electronic Service of Orders and Notices

Issued by the Court in Civil Cases

LONG IS

LONG ISLAND OFFICE

Please register me to receive service of documents and notices of electronic filings to my e-mail address via the Court's electronic filing system (ECF). By registering for electronic service, I affirm that:

- I understand that I waive my right to receive service of court issued documents by first class mail and that I will no longer receive paper copies of court issued documents such as notices, decisions, opinions, memoranda & orders, orders, judgments and appeal instructions.
- I understand that it is strongly recommended that I establish a PACER account by visiting the PACER website at www.pacer.gov, which account will allow me to view, print, and download documents at any time for a nominal fee.

 Initial here
- The email address I provided below is valid and I understand I am responsible for checking it on a regular basis. I will promptly notify the Court if there is any change in my personal data, such as name, address, or e-mail address.
- I understand that electronic service does **not** allow me to file documents electronically and does **not** mean that I can serve documents by e-mail to the opposing party. I must continue to file all communications regarding my case in paper copy with the Court and serve the opposing party.

I understand that I must file a consent in each case in which I wish to receive electronic service and that electronic service is not available in Social Security or Immigration cases or for incarcerated litigants.

Date: 29544 Signature: Signature:

Return form to:

U.S.D.C., E.D.N.Y. - Pro Se Dept.

225 Cadman Plaza East or Brooklyn, NY 11201 100 Federal Płaza Central Islip, NY 11722 FEUERS I EIN, N TOMLINSCH, N

Initial here

Initial here

Initial here